JS 44 (Rev. 10/20)

## 

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	CTIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	F THIS F	ORM.)							
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDAN	ΓS						
John Roethke				AdaptHealth, LLC; AdaptHealth Corp.; Community Surgical							
(b) G (CD (1)	CET ATTACK	) O		Supply; and Surgical Supply of Tom's River, LLC							
<b>(b)</b> County of Residence of		Ocean County		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Montgomery County, PA							
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.							
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Numbe	er)		Attorneys (If Knov	wn)						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Daniel S. Orlow, Esq., Console Mattiacci Law,											
110 Marter Ave, Suite 50	12, Moorestown, NJ U	8057									
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	III. CI'	<u> </u> ΓIZENSHIP OF	PRIN	ICIPA	L PARTIES O	Place an "Y" in	One Box fo		
_		one Box only)	1111 01	(For Diversity Cases On				and One Box for I			
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	\[ \times 3 \] Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citize	en of This State	<b>PTF x</b> 1	<b>DEF</b> 1	Incorporated or Pri		<b>PTF</b>	DEF 4	
2 U.S. Government	4 Diversity		Citize	en of Another State	<u>2</u>	□ 2	Incorporated and P			x 5	
Defendant	(Indicate Citizensh	nip of Parties in Item III)					of Business In A	nother State			
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3	3	Foreign Nation		<u> </u>	6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box O	nly)			Cli	ck here	for: Nature of S	uit Code De	scription	<u>ıS</u> .	
CONTRACT		ORTS	FC	RFEITURE/PENALT	Y		KRUPTCY	OTHER	STATUT	ES	
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	Y 62	5 Drug Related Seizure	<sub>21</sub>		beal 28 USC 158	375 False (			
120 Marine 130 Miller Act	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product	365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	H <sub>69</sub>	of Property 21 USC 88 0 Other	51	423 Wit	usc 157	376 Qui Ta		٧	
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	367 Health Care/						400 State R	//	nment	
150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical					RTY RIGHTS	410 Antitru			
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability			$\vdash$	820 Cop 830 Pate		430 Banks 450 Comm		ag	
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	368 Asbestos Personal					ent - Abbreviated	460 Deport			
Student Loans	340 Marine	Injury Product					v Drug Application	470 Racket			
(Excludes Veterans)  153 Recovery of Overpayment	345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPER	rv 📙	LABOR		840 Trac 880 Def	end Trade Secrets	480 Consui	ot Organiza mer Credit		
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud		0 Fair Labor Standards			of 2016		SC 1681 or		
160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending		Act				485 Teleph		mer	
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	$\square$ <sup>72</sup>	0 Labor/Management			L SECURITY	<b>—</b>	ction Act		
195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage  385 Property Damage	H <sub>74</sub>	Relations 0 Railway Labor Act	$\vdash$		k (1395ff) ck Lung (923)	490 Cable/ 850 Securit		odities/	
	362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability		1 Family and Medical			VC/DIWW (405(g))	Excha			
DEAL DROBERTY	Medical Malpractice	DDICONED DETERMAN	70	Leave Act	L		D Title XVI	890 Other S	-		
REAL PROPERTY  210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus:		<ul><li>Other Labor Litigation</li><li>Employee Retirement</li></ul>		865 RSI	(405(g))	891 Agricu 893 Enviro			
220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	463 Alien Detainee	H''	Income Security Act		FEDER	AL TAX SUITS	895 Freedo			
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	× 442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate		•		870 Tax	es (U.S. Plaintiff	Act			
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General			$\vdash$		Defendant) —Third Party	896 Arbitra 899 Admin		ma a a disma	
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION	-		USC 7609		eview or Ap		
	Employment	Other:		2 Naturalization Applica	tion			Agency	y Decision		
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights	er 46	5 Other Immigration				950 Consti		of	
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition		Actions				State 5	statutes		
	Γ	560 Civil Detainee -									
		Conditions of Confinement									
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	n One Box Only)	1							-		
		Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reins Reop		nsferred ther Di		6 Multidistric		Multidis Litigatio		
					cify)		Transfer		Direct F	ile	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	42 US C & 12101 et se	atute under which you ar eq.; 29 U.S.C. § 2601 et s			statutes	unless di	iversity):				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIC	Brief description of ca	ause: ated against because of hi	s disabilit	٧.							
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$		C	HECK YES only i	if demanded in	n complai	nt:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2			xcess of \$75,000			URY DEMAND:		No		
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S)										
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE				DOCK	ET NUMBER				
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY (	OF RECORD							
01/12/2024		NOT I									
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY											
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	Ξ		MAG. JUD	OGE			

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

JOHN ROETHKE

Civil Action No.

Plaintiff

v.

Tom's River, NJ

ADAPTHEALTH, LLC.

ADAPTHEALTH CORP.

**COMMUNITY SURGICAL SUPPLY** 

SURGICAL SUPPLY OF TOM'S RIVER : <u>JURY TRIALD DEMANDED</u>

Defendants

#### **CIVILACTION COMPLAINT**

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff, John Roethke, brings this action against his former employers, AdaptHealth, LLC; AdaptHealth Corp.; Community Surgical Supply; and Surgical Supply of Tom's River, LLC (collectively, "Defendants"), for violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq. ("ADA"), the Family and Medical Leave Act, 29 U.S.C. § 2601, et seq. ("FMLA"), and the New Jersey Law Against Discrimination, N.J.S.A. 10:5-1, et seq. ("LAD").

Defendants terminated Plaintiff's employment because of his disability and in retaliation for having sought reasonable accommodations and protected medical leave. Plaintiff seeks damages, including back-pay, front-pay, compensatory, punitive, attorneys' fees and costs, and all other relief that this Court deems appropriate.

#### II. PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff John Roethke is an individual and citizen of the State of New Jersey, residing therein in Tom's River, NJ.
- 2. Defendant AdaptHealth, LLC is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business located in Plymouth Meeting, Pennsylvania.
- 3. Defendant AdaptHealth Corp. is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business located in Plymouth Meeting, Pennsylvania.
- 4. Defendant Community Surgical Supply, a wholly owned subsidiary of AdaptHealth, LLC, is a New Jersey Corporation with a principal place of business in Plymouth Meeting, Pennsylvania.
- 5. Defendant Community Surgical Supply of Tom's River, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of AdaptHealth, LLC, is a New Jersey Corporation with a principal place of business in Plymouth Meeting, Pennsylvania.
- 6. At all relevant times, Defendants acted as joint and/or integrated employers of Plaintiff within the meaning of the statutes that form the basis of this matter.
- 7. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was an employee of Defendants within the meaning of the statutes which form the basis of this matter.
  - 8. At all relevant times, Defendants employed more than fifteen (15) people.
- 9. At all relevant times, Defendants acted by and through their authorized agents, servants, workmen, and/or employees within the course and scope of their employment with Defendants and in furtherance of Defendants' business.

#### III. <u>JURISDICTION AND VENUE</u>

10. The causes of action set forth in this Complaint arise under the ADA, the FMLA

and the LAD.

- 11. The District Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Count I (ADA) and Count II (FMLA) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 12. The District Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Count III (LAD) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
  - 13. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).
- 14. On or about June 7, 2023, Plaintiff filed a Charge of Discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC), complaining of the various acts of discrimination and retaliation alleged herein. Attached hereto, incorporated herein, and marked as Exhibit "A" is a true and correct copy of the June 7, 2023 Charge of Discrimination filed with the EEOC (with minor redactions for purposes of electronic filing of confidential/identifying information).
- 15. On or about October 16, 2023 the EEEOC issued Plaintiff a Notice of Dismissal and Right to Sue, informing him that he had the right to bring suit in either Federal or State Court within ninety (90) days of his receipt of the Right to Sue Notice. Attached hereto, incorporated herein, and marked as Exhibit "B" is a true and correct copy of the October 16, 2023 Notice of Right to Sue from the EEOC.
- 16. Plaintiff has complied with all administrative pre-requisites for the commencement of this action.

#### IV. FACTUAL ALLEGALTIONS

- 17. Plaintiff was hired by Defendants in December 2014 as Director of Clinical Operations.
- 18. Plaintiff reported to Michael Fried, President and Chief Executive Office of Defendants.

- 19. In or about November 2019, Plaintiff was diagnosed with bladder cancer.
- 20. In the Fall of 2021, Plaintiff learned that he needed to undergo surgery as part of his cancer treatment.
- 21. Throughout the Fall of 2021 and early Winter of 2022, Plaintiff underwent chemotherapy treatments for his cancer diagnosis.
- 22. Plaintiff informed Cheryl Provost, Human Resources, that he required surgery in connection with his cancer treatment and that he would need to take a medical leave of absence for the same.
  - 23. On or about February 4, 2022, Plaintiff commenced a medical leave of absence.
  - 24. On or about February 7, 2022, Plaintiff underwent surgery.
- 25. Plaintiff's surgery involved the removal of his bladder and the rebuilding thereof utilizing certain pieces of his colon.
- 26. Plaintiff experienced complications in connection with his surgery, which extended his medical leave beyond six (6) weeks.
- 27. Plaintiff was admitted to the hospital several times in 2022 in connection with his cancer treatments and recovery.
- 28. At or around the commencement of Plaintiff's medical leave, his job title changed from Director of Clinical Operations to Director of Nursing.
- 29. In or about March 2022, Fried told Plaintiff that he would return to the Director of Nursing position when he was cleared to return to work.
- 30. During his medical leave of absence, Plaintiff maintained regular contact with Fried and continued to update him on his cancer treatment and recovery.
  - 31. In or about the Spring of 2022, Defendants hired Dawn Morris into the Director of

Nursing position.

- 32. Following the hire of Ms. Morris, Fried informed Plaintiff that there would be a position available for him at Defendants upon his return to work.
- 33. In or about August 2022, Plaintiff informed Fried that he planned to return to work in September 2022.
- 34. On or about September 8, 2022, in a phone call with Nicole O'Keefe, Human Resources Representative, and Darlene Pepler, Human Resources Director, Defendants terminated Plaintiff's employment.
- 35. The reason provided by Defendants for Plaintiff's termination was that Defendants had to replace him since he had been out of work for more than twenty-four (24) weeks.
- 36. Plaintiff informed O'Keefe that Fried stated he would have a job upon being cleared to return to work.
- 37. On or about September 20, 2022, Plaintiff informed Fried that he had been cleared to return to work.
- 38. On or about September 24, 2022, Plaintiff submitted a doctor's note to Defendants clearing him to return to work.
- 39. In submitting his doctor's note, Plaintiff requested the reasonable accommodation of light duty and requested to be excused from work for necessary follow-up appointments and tests as he continued to recover from his cancer and corresponding treatment.
- 40. On or about September 24, 2022, following Plaintiff's submission of his doctor's note, Fried informed Plaintiff that had a position planned for him to return to.
- 41. Plaintiff received no further communications from Fried regarding a return to employment with Defendants.

- 42. Plaintiff's cancer, his medical leave of absence, his request for light duty, and his requests to periodically take days off to recover from surgery related to his cancer, were each motivating and/or determinative factors in Defendants' discriminatory and retaliatory treatment of him.
- 43. As a direct and proximate result of the discriminatory conduct of Defendants, Plaintiff has in the past incurred, and may in the future incur, a loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, loss of benefits, pain and suffering, embarrassment, humiliation, loss of self-esteem, mental anguish, and loss of life's pleasures, the full extent of which is not known at this time.

#### **COUNT I – Americans with Disabilities Act**

- 44. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the paragraphs set forth above as if set forth herein in their entirety.
- 45. By committing the foregoing acts of discrimination and retaliation Defendants have violated the ADA.
- 46. Defendants further violated the ADA by failing to provide Plaintiff with reasonable accommodations for his disability.
- 47. Defendants acted with malice and/or reckless indifference toward the federally protected rights of Plaintiff and its conduct warrants the imposition of punitive damages.
- 48. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' violation of the ADA, Plaintiff has sustained the injuries, damages, and losses set forth herein and has incurred attorneys' fees and costs.
- 49. Plaintiff is now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and monetary damages as a result of Defendants' discriminatory, retaliatory, and unlawful acts unless and until the Court grants the relief requested herein.

50. No previous application has been made for the relief requested herein.

#### **COUNT II - FMLA**

- 51. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the above paragraphs as if set forth herein in their entirety.
- 52. At all relevant times, Defendants employed more than fifty (50) people within a seventy-five (75) mile radius of Plaintiff's workplaces.
- 53. Plaintiff had worked for Defendants for at least 1,250 hours in the twelve months period preceding his need for medical leave
- 54. At all relevant times, Plaintiff suffered from a "serious health condition" within the meaning of the FMLA.
- 55. At the time of Plaintiff's termination, Plaintiff was eligible for leave under the FMLA.
  - 56. At all relevant times, Defendant was subject to the requirements of the FMLA.
- 57. By committing the foregoing acts of discrimination and retaliation against Plaintiff Defendants violated the FMLA.
- 58. Plaintiff's exercise of his FMLA rights was considered as a negative factor, and was a motivating and determinative factor in Defendants' conduct towards Plaintiff, including terminating his employment.
- 59. By its actions set forth herein, Defendants interfered with Plaintiff's right to FMLA-protected medical leave.
- 60. Said violations were not in good faith, and Defendants did not have reasonable grounds to believe that the foregoing acts were not in violation of the FMLA. Defendants' violations of the FMLA warrant the imposition of liquidated damages.

- 61. Plaintiff is now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and monetary damages as a result of Defendants' discriminatory, retaliatory, and unlawful acts unless and until the Court grants the relief requested herein.
  - 62. No previous application has been made for the relief requested herein.

#### **COUNT III – LAD**

- 63. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the paragraphs set forth above as if set forth herein in their entirety.
- 64. By committing the foregoing acts of discrimination and retaliation Defendants have violated the LAD.
- 65. Defendants further violated the LAD by failing to provide Plaintiff with reasonable accommodations for his disability.
- 66. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' violation of the LAD, Plaintiff has sustained the injuries, damages and losses set forth herein and has incurred attorneys' fees and costs.
- 67. Members of Defendants' upper management were directly involved in and/or willfully indifferent to the discrimination and retaliation set forth herein and its conduct was especially egregious, thus warranting the imposition of punitive damages.
- 68. Plaintiff is now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and monetary damages as a result of Defendant's discriminatory and unlawful acts unless and until the Court grants the relief requested herein.
  - 69. No previous application has been made for the relief requested herein.

#### **RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff, John Roethke, and against Defendants:

- a) declaring the acts and practices complained of herein to be in violation of ADA;
- b) declaring the acts and practices complained of herein to be in violation of the FMLA;
  - c) declaring the acts and practices complained of herein to be in violation of the LAD;
  - d) enjoining and restraining permanently the violations alleged herein;
- e) awarding damages to Plaintiff for the past and future economic losses that he has suffered;
- f) awarding compensatory damages to Plaintiff for past and future emotional upset, mental anguish, humiliation, loss of life's pleasures, and pain and suffering;
  - g) awarding punitive damages to Plaintiff;
  - h) awarding liquidated damages to Plaintiff;
  - i) awarding Plaintiff the costs of this action, together with reasonable attorney's fees;
  - j) granting such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.

CONSOLE MATTIACCI LAW, LLC

Dated: January 12, 2024 BY:

Daniel S. Orlow, Esq. 110 Marter Avenue, Suite 502 Moorestown, NJ 08057 (215) 545-7676

Attorney for Plaintiff, John Roethke.

# Exhibit "A"

				1					
CHARGE OF DISCRI		ement before	AGENCY Q FEPA X EEOC	CHARGE NUMBER					
consolidating this form.  STATE OR LOCAL AGENCY:									
NAME (Indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.)									
John Roethke		HOME TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code)							
	TY, STATE AND 2 om's River, NJ 0875								
NAMED IS THE EMPLOYER, LABOR ORGANIZATION, EMPLOYMENT AGENCY, APPRENTICESHIP, COMMITTEE, STATE OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT WHO DISCRIMINATED AGAINST ME (If more than one than list below)									
NAME AdaptHealth, LLC; AdaptHealth Corp. Community Surgical Supply; Community Surgical Supply of Toms River, LLC	NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES, MEMBERS > 20			TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (800) 349-2990					
STREET ADDRESS 1163 NJ-37 # C1	ND ZIP 08755		COUNTY Ocean						
CAUSE OF DISCRIMINATION (Check appr Q Race Q Color Q Sex Q Religion X Retaliation Q Age X Disability Q	Q National Origin		DATE DISCRIMINATION TOOK PLACE  Earliest Latest 10/11/2022						
The Particulars Are:									
A. 1. Relevant Work History  I was hired by Respondent in or about 2014. I last held the position of Director of Nursing. I last reported to Michael Fried, President and Chief Executive Officer of Community Surgical Supply. Fried reported to Stephen Griggs, Chief Executive Officer of AdaptHealth.									
Respondent terminated my employment because of my disability and/or my seeking reasonable accommodations for my disability, including a medical leave of absence. Respondent terminated my employment on the day I was planning to return to work from my medical leave of absence. Respondent hired and retained, in positions for which I was qualified, nondisabled employees and/or employees who had not sought reasonable accommodations for a disability and/or employees who had not taken a medical leave of absence. When I was terminated, I had more than eight (8) years of service at Respondent.									
I consistently demonstrated positive performance and dedication to Respondent. I performed my duties in a highly-									
I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the any. I will advise the agencies if I change my address cooperate fully with them in the processing of my countries.	s or telephone number a charge in accordance w	and I swear o	a la cocar requirements)						
I declare under penalty or perjury that the foregoing is true	and correct.								
Charging Party (Signature):  SIGNATURE OF COMPLAINANT  SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS DATE (Day Month, and year)									

<sup>a References herein to a person not having a disability are all to the best of my knowledge.
b References herein to a person not having sought reasonable accommodations or taken a medical leave of absence</sup> are all to the best of my knowledge.

#### EEOC Charge of Discrimination Initials of Charging Party –

#### 2. Harm Summary

I have been discriminated against because of my disability (including history of and regarded as), and retaliated against because of my seeking reasonable accommodations for my disability, including taking medical a leave of absence. Evidence of the discriminatory and retaliatory conduct to which I have been subjected includes, but is not limited to, the following.

- (a) In November 2019, I was diagnosed with bladder cancer.
- (b) Following the above, I underwent chemotherapy for my bladder cancer.
- (c) In October 2021, I learned I would require surgery in connection with my bladder cancer.
- (d) In October 2021, following the above, I told Cheryl Provost, Human Resources, that I required surgery in connection with my bladder cancer and that I would need to take a medical leave of absence for the same.
- (e) From October 2021 through February 2022, I underwent chemotherapy for my bladder cancer.
- (f) On February 4, 2022, I went out of work on a medical leave of absence.
- (g) On February 7, 2022, I underwent surgery for my bladder cancer. I had my bladder removed, and some of my colon was used to make a new bladder.
- (h) Respondents were aware that I was diagnosed with bladder cancer and underwent chemotherapy and surgery for the same.
- I experienced complications in connection with my surgery, which extended my medical leave of absence beyond six (6) weeks.
- I was admitted to the hospital nine (9) times in 2022 in connection with my disability and recovery.
- (k) In or about March 2022, in a phone call with Fried, he told me that I would return to my same position when I was cleared to return to work.
- (I) In or about May 2022, in a phone call with Fried, he told me that Respondents were replacing me as Director of Nursing. Fried told me not to worry about it, and that he had something in mind for me when I was cleared to return to work.
- (m) While I was out on medical leave, Respondents hired Dawn Morris, Director of Nursing.
- (n) While I was on a medical leave of absence, I maintained regular contact with Fried and continued to update him on my medical condition and recovery.
- (o) In August 2022, I told Fried that I planned to return to work in September 2022.
- (p) On September 8, 2022, in a phone call with Nicole O'Keefe, Human Resources Representative, and Darlene \_\_\_\_\_\_, Human Resources Director, Respondents terminated my employment. The stated reason was that Respondents made a mistake and I should have been terminated three (3) weeks earlier. They told me that, because I had been out of work for more than twenty-four (24) weeks, Respondents had to replace me. I stated that Fried had told me that I would have a



#### EEOC Charge of Discrimination Initials of Charging Party –

job upon my being cleared to return to work. They stated that Fried should not have told me that.

- (q) On September 20, 2022, in a text message to Fried, I stated that I had been cleared to return to work.
- (r) On September 24, 2022, in a text message to Fried, I submitted my doctor's note, dated September 23, 2022, which cleared me to return to work. In my doctor's note, I requested the reasonable accommodation of light duty and requested to be excused from work for necessary follow-up appointments and tests as I continued to recover from my illness.
- (s) On September 24, 2022, following the above, in a text message from Fried, he stated that he would work on finding me a position to return to work.
- (t) I did not receive any communication regarding a position for me at Respondents.
- (u) On October 11, 2022, in a text message to Fried, I asked him whether I had a job.
- (v) I received no response to my above text message.
- (w) Respondents terminated my employment because of my disability and/or my seeking reasonable accommodations for my disability and/or my medical leave of absence.
- (x) I had no opportunity to remain employed with Respondents.
- (y) I was, to my knowledge and belief, the only employee that Respondents terminated on September 8, 2022.
- (z) Respondents retained all other employees reporting to Fried—all of whom were nondisabled and/or had not sought reasonable accommodations for a disability.
- (aa)Before I disclosed my disability to Respondents, I had no indication that my job was in jeopardy.
- (bb)Respondents replaced me with Morris. I was more qualified to perform my position than the nondisabled employees who had not sought reasonable accommodations for a disability or taken a medical leave of absence with whom Respondents replaced me.
- (cc) I had no performance or disciplinary issues throughout my employment with Respondents.
- (dd)Respondents' disability discriminatory and retaliatory conduct has caused me emotional distress.
- (ee)Respondents did not target or treat in the same way similarly situated nondisabled employees and/or employees who did not take a medical leave of absence or request a reasonable accommodation for a disability.

#### Respondents' Stated Reasons

(a) Respondents' stated reason for terminating my employment is pretext for disability discrimination and/or retaliation for seeking reasonable accommodations for my disability and taking a medical leave of absence.



#### EEOC Charge of Discrimination Initials of Charging Party –

### C. 1. Statutes and Bases for Allegations

I believe that Respondents have discriminated against me based on my disability (including history of and regarded as), and retaliated against me based on my seeking reasonable accommodations for my disability, including taking a medical leave of absence for my disability, in violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 12101, et seq. ("ADA"), and the New Jersey Law Against Discrimination, as amended, N.J.S.A. § 10:5-1, et seq. ("NJLAD"), as set forth herein.



# Exhibit "B"

### U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

Philadelphia District Office 801 Market St, Suite 1000 Philadelphia, PA 19107 (267) 589-9700

Website: www.eeoc.gov

#### DETERMINATION AND NOTICE OF RIGHTS

(This Notice replaces EEOC FORMS 161, 161-A & 161-B)

Issued On: 10/16/2023

To: John Roethke

Toms River, NJ 08757 Charge No: 530-2023-05840

EEOC Representative and #: Legal Unit

(267) 589-9707

#### **DETERMINATION OF CHARGE**

The EEOC issues the following determination: The EEOC will not proceed further with its investigation and makes no determination about whether further investigation would establish violations of the statute. This does not mean the claims have no merit. This determination does not certify that the respondent is in compliance with the statutes. The EEOC makes no finding as to the merits of any other issues that might be construed as having been raised by this charge.

#### NOTICE OF YOUR RIGHT TO SUE

This is official notice from the EEOC of the dismissal of your charge and of your right to sue. If you choose to file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) on this charge under federal law in federal or state court, your lawsuit must be filed WITHIN 90 DAYS of your receipt of this notice. Receipt generally occurs on the date that you (or your representative) view this document. You should keep a record of the date you received this notice. Your right to sue based on this charge will be lost if you do not file a lawsuit in court within 90 days. (The time limit for filing a lawsuit based on a claim under state law may be different.)

Equal Pay Act (EPA): EPA suits must be filed in federal or state court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment. This means that backpay due for any violations that occurred more than 2 years (3 years) before you file suit may not be collectible.

If you file a lawsuit based on this charge, please sign-in to the EEOC Public Portal and upload the court complaint to charge 530-2023-05840.

On behalf of the Commission,

Digitally Signed By:Karen McDonough 10/16/2023

Karen McDonough Deputy District Director